

Physician perceptions and recommendations about pre-hospital emergency medical services for patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction in Abu Dhabi



Edward L. Callachan^{a,*}, Alawi A. Alsheikh-Ali^{b,c}, Stevan Bruijns^a, Lee A. Wallis^a

^a University of Cape Town, Division of Emergency Medicine, Private Bag X24, Belleville 7535

^b Institute of Cardiac Sciences, Sheikh Khalifa Medical City, P.O. Box 59100, Abu Dhabi

^c Institute for Clinical Research and Health Policy Studies, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

^a South Africa

^b United Arab Emirates

^c USA

Introduction: Physician perceptions about emergency medical services (EMS) are important determinants of improving pre-hospital care for cardiac emergencies. No data exist on physician attitudes towards EMS care of patients with ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi.

Objectives: To describe the perceptions towards EMS among physicians caring for patients with STEMI in Abu Dhabi.

Methods: We surveyed a convenience sample of physicians involved in the care of patients with STEMI (emergency medicine, cardiology, cardiothoracic surgery and intensive care) in four government facilities with 24/7 Primary PCI in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi. Surveys were distributed using dedicated email links, and used 5-point Likert scales to assess perceptions and attitudes to EMS.

Results: Of 106 physician respondents, most were male (82%), practicing in emergency medicine (47%) or cardiology (44%) and the majority (63%) had been in practice for >10 years. Less than half of the responders (42%) were "Somewhat Satisfied" (35%) or "Very Satisfied" (7%) with current EMS level of care for STEMI patients. Most respondents were "Very Likely" (67%) to advise a patient with a cardiac emergency to use EMS, but only 39% felt the same for themselves or their family. Most responders were supportive (i.e. "Strongly Agree") of the following steps to improve EMS care: 12-lead ECG and telemetry to ED by EMS (69%), EMS triage of STEMI to PCI facilities (65%), and activation of PCI teams by EMS (58%). Only 19% were supportive of pre-hospital fibrinolytics by EMS. There were no significant differences in the responses among the specialties.

Conclusions: Most physicians involved in STEMI care in Abu Dhabi are very likely to advise patients to use EMS for a cardiac emergency, but less likely to do so for themselves or their families. Different specialties had concordant opinions regarding steps to improve pre-hospital EMS care for STEMI.

© 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: Emergency Medical Services, ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Survey

Disclosure: Authors have nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support.

Received 4 March 2015; revised 18 May 2015; accepted 20 May 2015.

Available online 28 May 2015

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +971 50 328 4398.

E-mail addresses: eddieca@eim.ae (E.L. Callachan), alsheikhali@gmail.com (A.A. Alsheikh-Ali), Stevan.bruijns@uct.ac.za (S. Bruijns), Lee.wallis@uct.ac.za (L.A. Wallis).



P.O. Box 2925 Riyadh – 11461KSA
Tel: +966 1 2520088 ext 40151
Fax: +966 1 2520718
Email: sha@sha.org.sa
URL: www.sha.org.sa



1016–7315 © 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

URL: www.ksu.edu.sa

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsha.2015.05.005>



Production and hosting by Elsevier

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, cardiovascular disease is the number one cause of death globally. In 2013, it was estimated that 17.5 million people died of cardiovascular disease, representing 31% of all global deaths [1]. In the United Arab Emirates (UAE), mortality statistics from 2008 to 2010 showed that cardiovascular disease was the leading cause of death among both UAE nationals and expatriates in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi [2]. The *Weqaya* program, a preventive public health initiative established by Health Authority Abu Dhabi in 2008, sets targets to address cardiovascular disease in the emirate. By 2015, the aim is to reduce cardiovascular disease events by 10% and by 2030, an expected 40% reduction in events and 75% reduction in mortality [3].

In acute coronary syndromes (ACS), and in particular ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), prompt reopening of occluded vessels is essential in order to restore myocardial perfusion [4]. Evidence has linked longer treatment delays with increased mortality [5,6]. Therefore, all possible measures should be undertaken to minimize the time from symptom onset to reperfusion of the ischemic area [7]. While improving door-to-balloon times is a critical component of STEMI care, it may not always translate into direct improvements in mortality, suggesting that the time before arrival at the hospital may be just as important [8]. Both the time from arrival to electrocardiogram (ECG) and the time from ECG to catheterization laboratory activation are shorter in patients transported by emergency medical services (EMS), with some data indicating an improved outcome in patients transported by EMS [9–12].

These findings highlight the importance of patients utilizing EMS, along with rapid and effective EMS treatment and transport, in minimizing total ischemic time [13,14].

A particular benefit of EMS transport of STEMI patients is the ability to acquire a 12-lead ECG before arrival at the hospital, where the ECG can be transmitted to the hospital, triggering the activation of the catheterization laboratory to be ready upon the patient's arrival and thereby significantly reducing the time from symptom onset to reperfusion [15]. A study showed that this process saved more time (up to 15.4 minutes) than any other intervention [16].

While private transport can be quicker, door-to-balloon time is considerably shorter for patients

Abbreviations

ACS	acute coronary syndromes
EMS	emergency medical services
Gulf RACE	Gulf Registry of Acute Coronary Events
UAE	United Arab Emirates
ED	emergency department
PCI	percutaneous coronary intervention

using EMS. This is particularly true in the West where many systems have excellent prehospital advanced life support [17]. However, these advantages gained by EMS transport are limited in many other countries. While EMS protocols in Abu Dhabi currently allow for the performance of a 12-lead ECG, there is no system to transmit data to receiving facilities. Systematic catheterization laboratory activation by both EMS and pre-hospital care physicians has been demonstrated to be both feasible and accurate [18].

The Gulf Registry of Acute Coronary Events (Gulf RACE), representing the Arab Gulf States, revealed that EMS was not a common mode of transport utilized by STEMI patients (chosen by only 17% of patients), similar to findings in other international studies [19–21]. While the patient clearly has a stake in making the decision to use EMS or private transport, the physician also plays an intimate role, with involvement in patient education and guidance at discharge. Understanding physicians' perceptions of transportation practices can ultimately help improve access for STEMI patients.

The objective of the present study is to describe perceptions towards EMS among physicians caring for patients with STEMI in Abu Dhabi.

Methods

Study setting

The Emirate of Abu Dhabi covers an area of 83,600 km² and has a population of 2.33 million (of which 475,000 are UAE nationals). There are five government-funded facilities that operate cardiac catheterization services, four of which are under the Abu Dhabi Health Services Company (SEHA) and one operated by the Directorate of Medical Services of the UAE Armed Forces. EMS are operated by the Abu Dhabi Police Emergency and Public Safety Department, in conjunction with National Ambulance Company LLC, which provides emergency medical technicians and paramedics. EMS are able to perform 12-lead ECG, but at the time of writing, there is no pre-hospital telemetry to catheterization

laboratory facilities. Approval to conduct the survey was obtained from the research ethics committees of all five facilities.

Design

Between June 2012 and December 2013, we administered a survey to a convenience sample “voluntary, non-random sampling” of 195 physicians typically involved in the care of patients who present to hospital emergency departments (ED) with suspected ACS. We included physicians from emergency medicine, cardiology, cardiothoracic surgery, and intensive care medicine. Prior to distribution, face validity was obtained by sending the survey to a pilot group of ten physicians involved in STEMI care. Distribution was performed using dedicated email links through SurveyMonkey (Palo Alto, CA, USA).

The survey utilized two five-point Likert scales, as well as general demographic questions. Frequencies and proportions were also computed for the following outcome variables:

1. The likelihood of advising an ACS patient to use EMS to go to hospital;
2. Satisfaction with the current EMS level of care given to ACS patients;
3. Likelihood of using the EMS for themselves or their family if a cardiac emergency occurs; and
4. Opinions regarding the steps that they felt could be taken to further improve EMS and prehospital ACS care.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees/Institutional Review Boards of Sheikh Khalifa Medical City, Mafraq, Al Ain, and Tawam hospitals as well as the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee. All physicians were informed that submission of a completed survey implies consent to participate in the study.

Data analysis

Both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed for the demographic and background characteristics of the participants including frequencies and percentages for sex, nationality, age group, native language, specialty, years in the current practice, and length of practice in the UAE.

Standard descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data. Mean \pm standard deviation and proportions were used to summarize continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The

chi-square test was used to detect correlations between specialty (emergency medicine, cardiology, and other) and responses to survey questions about the likelihood of advising an ACS patient to use EMS to go to the hospital, satisfaction with the current EMS level of care given to ACS patients, likelihood of using EMS for themselves or their family if ACS occurs, and opinions regarding the steps they felt could be taken to further improve EMS and prehospital ACS care.

Results

Data were collected for a total of 106 physicians who responded, and descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. Respondents were ethnically diverse, and predominantly male ($n = 87$, 82.1%). Most were practicing in emergency medicine (47%) or cardiology (44%), and the majority (63%) had been in practice for >10 years (Table 1).

Table 2 indicates respondents’ perceptions of EMS services and the likelihood of recommending

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Participant Demographic and Background Characteristics ($n = 106$).

Variable	<i>n</i>	%
Nationality		
India	17	16.0
Non-UAE Arab national	31	29.2
Other	31	29.2
Pakistan	13	12.3
UAE	13	12.3
United States	1	.9
Age group		
27 or younger	2	1.9
28 to 37	27	25.5
38 to 47	37	34.9
48 to 57	35	33.0
58 or older	5	4.7
Home language		
Arabic	50	47.2
English	30	28.3
Other	26	24.5
Specialty		
Cardiology	47	44.3
Emergency Medicine	50	47.2
Other	9	8.5
Number of years in this practice		
<2	4	3.8
2 to 5	15	14.2
5 to 10	20	18.9
>10	67	63.2
Length of practice in UAE		
Less than 2 years	17	16.0
2 to 5 years	39	36.8
5 to 10 years	27	25.5
More than 10 years	23	21.7

Table 2. Percentage of Cardiology Participants ($n = 47$), Emergency Medicine Participants ($n = 50$), and Other Participants ($n = 9$) Providing Each Response to Perceptions of Ambulance Services Items ($N = 106$).

		Very Unsatisfied	Somewhat Unsatisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Satisfied	Very Satisfied	<i>p</i>
How satisfied are you with the current ambulance services level of care given to Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) patients?	Cardiology	2.1	23.4	31.9	29.8	12.8	0.06
	Emergency medicine	12.0	8.0	42.0	36.0	2.0	
	Other	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	
	Total	6.6	15.1	36.8	34.9	6.6	
Item	Group	Very Unlikely	Somewhat Unlikely	Neutral	Somewhat Likely	Very Likely	<i>p</i>
If a patient with a cardiac emergency contacts you directly, how likely are you to advise that them to use the ambulance services to go to hospital?	Cardiology	2.1	6.4	8.5	27.7	55.3	0.43
	Emergency medicine	2.0	0.0	6.0	16.0	76.0	
	Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	
	Total	1.9	2.8	6.6	21.7	67.0	
How likely are you to use the ambulance services for you or your family, if a cardiac emergency occurs?	Cardiology	10.6	6.4	17.0	25.5	40.4	0.25
	Emergency medicine	10.0	10.0	28.0	14.0	38.0	
	Other	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	
	Total	9.4	7.5	21.7	22.6	38.7	

Notes: Table entries are percentages. *p* values are from chi-square tests of independence. Medians are presented in bold.

them to patients and family members. Physician satisfaction with EMS varied with approximately one third feeling neutral (36.8%), another third (34.9%) somewhat satisfied, and 15.1% somewhat dissatisfied. Only 6.6% were very satisfied and a similar minority were not satisfied. If contacted by a patient with a cardiac emergency, nearly nine out of ten physicians were either somewhat likely (21.7%) or very likely (67.0%) to advise the patient to use an ambulance for transport to a hospital. Of the same respondents, about six out of ten were somewhat likely (22.6%) or very likely (38.7%) to do the same for themselves or a family member.

Table 2 also includes the survey findings stratified by physician specialty. There were no significant differences between the specialist groups for any of the three survey questions or their opinions regarding steps to improve EMS care. Despite the above findings, several are worth noting. Emergency medicine doctors (76.0%) were more likely than cardiologists (55.3%) to advise patients with cardiac emergencies to use ambulance services to go the hospital. Emergency medicine doctors were also less satisfied with the level of care given to ACS patients through the ambulance service (with only 2.0% very satisfied) when compared to cardiologists (among whom 12.8% were very satisfied).

Table 3 shows the responses to the eight survey items related to specific steps that could be taken to improve ambulance services and prehospital ACS care. Physicians were the most supportive, with nearly nine out of ten responding with

“Agree” or “Strongly Agree”, of prehospital 12-lead ECG by EMS with telemetry to ED and of EMS triage of confirmed STEMI patients directly to PCI facilities. There was also strong support for better training of ambulance staff to care for STEMI patients, activation of catheterization laboratory teams by EMS services, and STEMI patients bypassing the ED to the catheterization laboratory directly. Prehospital fibrinolysis by EMS had the least support with one third of physicians having a “Neutral” response and about one in four (26.4%) disagreeing or strongly disagreeing with that intervention.

Discussion

EMS infrastructure is a critical component of improving EMS use, and physicians involved in STEMI care are natural advocates for improving EMS use and developing its infrastructure. Therefore, determining if a dissociation exists between physician attitudes and guideline recommendations is relevant.

Understanding how these “natural advocates” of EMS feel about the current status (perceptions) and how these can be improved (recommendations) is an important step towards improving EMS care in the region.

The current study was the first systematic description of physicians’ perceptions and recommendations regarding EMS care of patients with STEMI in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi. Physician satisfaction with the current status of EMS

Table 3. Percentage of Cardiology Participants (n = 47), Emergency Medicine Participants (n = 50), and Other Participants (n = 9) Providing Each Response to Items Related to Potential Improvements in Ambulance Services (N = 106).

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	p
More availability of ambulances	Cardiology	2.1	4.3	8.5	36.2	48.9	0.19
	Emergency medicine	4.0	0.0	26.0	32.0	38.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	33.3	11.1	44.4	
	Total	3.8	1.9	18.9	32.1	43.4	
Better training of ambulance staff	Cardiology	2.1	0.0	10.6	27.7	59.6	0.12
	Emergency medicine	4.0	0.0	8.0	26.0	62.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	11.1	22.2	55.6	
	Total	3.8	0.0	9.4	26.4	60.4	
EMS Use of 12 lead ECG and telemetry to ED	Cardiology	2.1	0.0	4.3	27.7	66.0	0.19
	Emergency medicine	4.0	0.0	10.0	12.0	74.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	22.2	11.1	55.6	
	Total	3.8	0.0	8.5	18.9	68.9	
EMS use of fibrinolytic therapy	Cardiology	6.4	8.5	34.0	25.5	25.5	0.11
	Emergency medicine	20.0	16.0	40.0	8.0	16.0	
	Other	11.1	22.2	44.4	22.2	0.0	
	Total	13.2	13.2	37.7	17.0	18.9	
EMS triage of confirmed STEMI patients direct to PCI facilities	Cardiology	4.3	0.0	2.1	23.4	70.2	0.67
	Emergency medicine	4.0	2.0	12.0	20.0	62.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	11.1	22.2	55.6	
	Total	4.7	.9	7.5	21.7	65.1	
Activation of cath lab by prehospital services	Cardiology	6.4	2.1	17.0	17.0	57.4	0.99
	Emergency medicine	6.0	4.0	14.0	20.0	56.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	66.7	
	Total	6.6	2.8	15.1	17.9	57.5	
STEMI patients bypassing the ED to cath lab directly	Cardiology	2.1	8.5	8.5	25.5	55.3	0.24
	Emergency medicine	12.0	8.0	10.0	26.0	44.0	
	Other	11.1	0.0	33.3	33.3	22.2	
	Total	7.5	7.5	11.3	26.4	47.2	
Punctuality (speed with which ambulances arrive)	Cardiology	2.1	0.0	19.1	23.4	55.3	0.08
	Emergency medicine	8.0	0.0	18.0	22.0	52.0	
	Other	0.0	11.1	22.2	33.3	33.3	
	Total	4.7	.9	18.9	23.6	51.9	

Notes: Table entries are percentages. p values are from chi-square tests of independence. Medians are presented in bold.

STEMI care was variable and only a small minority was very satisfied. Nonetheless, most physicians would still advise patients with cardiac emergencies to use EMS, though they would less often do so for themselves or their families. There was strong support among the respondents for pre-hospital 12-lead ECG with telemetry to ED and triaging of STEMI patients to primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) facilities, and bypassing of ED directly to catheterization laboratory and pre-hospital activation of primary PCI teams. Conversely, pre-hospital thrombolysis was not favored. Although there were some consistencies between cardiology and emergency medicine physicians, some differences were noted, including the finding that a higher percentage of emergency medicine physicians were very likely to advise a patient with a cardiac emergency to use the ambulance services to go to the hospital when compared to cardiologists. Thus, it appears that emergency medicine physicians are more confident in EMS than cardiologists. Emergency medicine physicians also appeared to be less satisfied with care for ACS patients than cardiologists.

The present report complements the existing literature of STEMI care in the Gulf region. The overwhelming majority of existing studies in the region focus on in-hospital care of patients with STEMI. Aside from the observation that EMS are underutilized by patients with ACS across the Gulf, we know very little about pre-hospital care of STEMI patients in the region.

While the present report does not describe pre-hospital care per se, it provides an equally important description of how physicians caring for STEMI patients perceive pre-hospital care and how they think it can be improved. Understanding these perceptions and recommendations is important for designing and implementing initiatives that would ultimately improve pre-hospital care of patients with cardiac emergencies.

The observation that physicians would still recommend EMS for their patients despite their modest level of satisfaction reflects a firm belief among them of the important role EMS can play in the pre-hospital care of patients with STEMI. This conviction should facilitate the active engagement of physicians from different specialties in system-wide initiatives to improve pre-hospital care of cardiac emergencies and raise public awareness of EMS role.

Several processes of pre-hospital care were favored by the physicians responding to the

present survey. The strongest support was for obtaining a 12-lead ECG by EMS personnel with direct telemetry to ED physicians. Pre-hospital 12-lead ECG has been shown to shorten both scene and transport times for patients with STEMI, potentially leading to a reduction in total ischemic time [22], and has also been associated with shorter door-to-balloon times [23]. Respondents were also in favor of pre-hospital triage of patients with STEMI to primary PCI facilities, a strategy that has been associated with improved survival in a large regional primary PCI program [24]. On the other hand, there was little support for pre-hospital thrombolysis by EMS, likely reflecting the uncertainty in the literature around this strategy, and possible concerns about the availability of the required expertise and infrastructure to implement such a strategy [25].

The positions of the responding physicians towards the various pre-hospital processes of STEMI care are useful in setting priorities for pre-hospital initiatives where the most favored evidence-based interventions would be most acceptable and more likely to secure physician engagement.

While nearly nine out of ten physicians will advise patients with a cardiac emergency to use EMS, only six out of ten would do the same for themselves or their families. In other words, one third of physicians gave conflicting advice to their patients versus themselves or a family member.

We have no explanation of this finding and can only speculate on what it may reflect. It is possible that the response to the "patient advice" question is driven by a physician's desire to follow practice guidelines, while the behavior with self or family is influenced by their lack of high satisfaction with the current state of EMS care. The discrepancy in the responses may also reflect some uncertainty towards evidence derived from developed health-care systems where EMS are more advanced and applied in less developed settings where further improvements are needed. Further studies are needed to understand the attitudes of physicians towards EMS.

The implementation of prehospital 12-lead ECG along with its routine use and early notification of the receiving facilities have long been recommended by the American Heart Association (AHA) [26]. While physician recommendations offered in this paper conform to those of the practice guidelines given for EMS by the AHA in their 2013 update [27], very few of these guidelines are applied as standard in this region.

Limitations

Our findings are limited by the use of a convenience sample from which the findings may not be generalizable to all practicing physicians. However, our respondents practice in major hospitals in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi, providing care to the majority of STEMI patients in the emirate. Lack of statistical differences in the responses among the different specialties may be a function of the sample size, and a larger survey may detect differences among specialists. The perceptions and responses reported here may not reflect the opinions of physicians practicing in other parts of the Gulf region. Future studies should utilize larger and random samples.

Conclusions

Professional practice guidelines recommend that patients make use of EMS during ACS, and most physicians involved in STEMI care in Abu Dhabi in this sample are very likely to advise patients to do so, but fewer are as likely to use EMS for themselves or their families. Most physicians were supportive of pre-hospital 12-lead ECG and triaging of patients to primary PCI centers. Different specialties had concordant opinions regarding steps to improve pre-hospital EMS care for STEMI. Feedback from physicians involved in the care of ACS patients can be used to further improve care provided by EMS as well as increase the use of EMS for themselves and their patients.

References

- [1] World Health Organization. WHO Cardiovascular diseases Fact Sheet [Internet]. 2015 [accessed 2015 March 1] Available from: <<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/>>.
- [2] Health Authority Abu Dhabi (HAAD) Surveillance Section. Mortality (death) rate in Abu Dhabi [monograph on the Internet] Health Authority Abu Dhabi; 2011 [accessed 2015 March 1]. Available from: <<http://www.haad.ae/HAAD/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=sPsbfsfpbxk%3d&tabid=1216>>.
- [3] Hajat C. The Abu Dhabi CVD Response [monograph on the Internet]. Health Authority Abu Dhabi; 2010 [accessed 2012 February 20] Available from: <<http://www.c3health.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/Hajat-Abu-Dhabi-IOM-response-20100420.pdf>>.
- [4] O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey Jr DE, Chung MK, De Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61(4):e78–e140.
- [5] Lambert L, Brown K, Segal E, Brophy J, Rodes-Cabau J, Bogaty P, et al. Association between timeliness of reperfusion therapy and clinical outcomes in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *JAMA* 2010;303(21):2148–55.
- [6] Miedema MD, Newell MC, Duval S, Garberich RF, Handran CB, Larson DM, et al. Causes of delay and associated mortality in patients transferred with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2011;124(15):1636–44.
- [7] Deircks DB. Mission Lifeline: developing a STEMI regional care system [monograph on the Internet]. *American Heart Association*. 2010 [accessed 2014 January 20] Available from: <http://www.emcreg.org/publications/monographs/acep/2009/ACEP2009_dbd.pdf>.
- [8] Menees DS, Peterson ED, Wang Y, Curtis JP, Messenger JC, Rumsfeld JS, et al. Door-to-balloon time and mortality among patients undergoing primary PCI. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369(10):901–9.
- [9] Bansal E, Dhawan R, Wagman B, Low G, Zheng L, Chan L, et al. Importance of Hospital Entry: Walk-in STEMI and Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *West J Emerg Med* 2014;15(1):81–7.
- [10] Hutchings CB, Mann NC, Daya M, Jui J, Goldberg R, Cooper L, et al. Patients with chest pain calling 9-1-1 or self-transporting to reach definitive care: which mode is quicker? *Am Heart J* 2004;147(1):35–41.
- [11] Qiu JP, Zhang Q, Lu JD, Wang HR, Lin J, Ge ZR, et al. Direct ambulance transport to catheterization laboratory reduces door-to-balloon time in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: the DIRECT-STEMI study. *Chin Med J* 2011;124(6):805–10.
- [12] Song L, Yang H, Hu D. Patients with acute myocardial infarction using ambulance or private transport to reach definitive care: which mode is quicker? *Int Med J* 2010;40(2):112–6.
- [13] Nallamothu BK, Bates ER. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus fibrinolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction: is timing (almost) everything? *Am J Cardiol* 2003;92(7):824–6.
- [14] Chughtai H, Ratner D, Pozo M, Crouchman JA, Niedz B, Merwin R, et al. Prehospital delay and its impact on time to treatment in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Emerg Med* 2011;29(4):396–400.
- [15] Ferguson JD, Brady WJ, Perron AD, Kiehl ND, Benner JP, Currance SB, et al. The prehospital 12-lead electrocardiogram: impact on management of the out-of-hospital acute coronary syndrome patient. *Am J Emerg Med* 2003;21(2):136–42.
- [16] Sivagangabalan G, Ong AT, Narayan A, Sadick N, Hansen PS, Nelson GC, et al. Effect of prehospital triage on revascularization times, left ventricular function, and survival in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;103(7):907–12.
- [17] Mathews R, Peterson ED, Li S, Roe MT, Glickman SA, Wiviott SD, et al. Use of emergency medical service transport among patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction: findings from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry Acute Coronary Treatment Intervention Outcomes Network Registry-Get with the Guidelines. *Circulation* 2011;124(2):154–63.
- [18] Garvey JL, Monk L, Granger CB, Studnek JR, Roettig ML, Corbett CC, et al. Rates of cardiac catheterization cancellation for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction after activation by emergency medical services or emergency physicians: results from the North Carolina Catheterization Laboratory Activation Registry (CLAR). *Circulation* 2012;125(2):308–13.
- [19] Fares S, Zubaid M, Al-Mahmood W, Ciottone G, Sayah A, Al Suwaidi J, et al. Utilization of emergency medical services by patients with acute coronary syndromes in the Arab Gulf States. *J Emerg Med* 2011;41(3):310–6.
- [20] Thuresson M, Jarlöv MB, Lindahl B, Svensson L, Zedigh C, Herlitz J. Factors that influence the use of ambulance in acute coronary syndrome. *Am Heart J* 2008;156(1):170–6.
- [21] Bång A, Grip L, Herlitz J, Kihlgren S, Karlsson T, Caidahl K, et al. Lower mortality after prehospital recognition and treatment followed by fast tracking to coronary care

- 14 CALLACHAN ET AL
PHYSICIAN PERCEPTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ABOUT PRE-HOSPITAL
EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES FOR PATIENTS WITH ST-ELEVATION ACUTE
MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION IN ABU DHABI

J Saudi Heart Assoc
2016;28:7–14

- compared with admittance via emergency department in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol* 2008;129(3):325–32.
- [22] Patel M, Dunford JV, Aguilar S, Castillo E, Patel E, Fisher R, et al. Pre-hospital electrocardiography by emergency medical personnel: effects on scene and transport times for chest pain and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60(9):806–11.
- [23] Peterson MC, Syndergaard T, Bowler J, Doxey R. A systematic review of factors predicting door to balloon time in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous intervention. *Int J Cardiol* 2012;157(1):8–23.
- [24] Chan AW, Kornder J, Elliott H, Brown RI, Dorval JF, Charania J, et al. Improved survival associated with pre-hospital triage strategy in a large regional ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction program. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;5(12):1239–46.
- [25] McCaul M, Lourens A, Kredt T. Pre-hospital versus in-hospital thrombolysis for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010191.pub2>.
- [26] Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Halasyamani LK, et al. 2007 focused update of the ACC/AHA 2004 guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51(2):210–47.
- [27] O’Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey Jr DE, Chung MK, De Lemos JA, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2013;127(4):e362–425.